

ODFC Renewal Project Scoring Process


CHRISTY RUBENSTEIN
DMA - DIANA T. MYERS & ASSOCIATES, INC.



Timeline

- 6/26: Email sent to grantees kicking off scoring process
- **7/11: Renewal Project Summary Forms and all attachments due back to ODFC gmail account by 5pm.**

Additional Preliminary Dates:

- 7/11-7/25: Scoring process underway
 - 7/26: Preliminary scores released
 - 7/26-8/1: Appeals period
 - 8/1-8/10: Funding Oversight Subcommittee reviews and decides appeals and recommends final scoring, Non-Conflicted Members of Coordinating Council approve/adopt final scoring
 - 8/13: Final scores disseminated and posted
- 

Scoring Process

- All grantees with CoC-funded renewal projects will receive an email providing instructions regarding submission of the information we need for the scoring of renewal projects.
- Scoring Standards document will provide details on the criteria and how we will score them. Please familiarize yourself with the criteria so that you understand how we are evaluating your project.
- Renewal Project Summary Form will be included in that email
 - Provides instructions to agencies regarding the attachments needed
 - Asks for information we need to be able to score projects
 - Provides grantees with opportunity to provide written explanations of challenges or issues that may impact scoring
- ODFC Project Scoring Tool
 - Will be used to gather data we need for scoring

Scoring Standards

2018 ODFC / CT – 503 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Standards
 FINAL: APPROVED 2018.06.25



#	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	2018 Benchmarks	Points	Notes	Calculation																
TIME PERIOD FOR DATA REVIEW: APR - 01/01/17-12/31/17; CAN DATA – 01/01/2017-12/31/17; TIMELINESS – 12/07/17-02/28/18																					
1. DATA QUALITY CRITERIA – 4 POINTS – DV PROJECTS NOT IN PARTICIPATING IN HMIS ARE EXCLUDED																					
1.1	PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION OVERALL SCORE: % of Error Rate for Personally Identifiable Information entered into CT HMIS	<5%	MAX POINTS = 1 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points</th> <th>PSH/RRH/TH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0.5</td> <td>3.5-5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.0</td> <td>0-3.5%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points	PSH/RRH/TH	0.5	3.5-5%	1.0	0-3.5%	Data Source: 2017 APR (CY17 Data)	APR Q6a. Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information (PII) Overall Score - % of Error Rate										
Points	PSH/RRH/TH																				
0.5	3.5-5%																				
1.0	0-3.5%																				
1.2	INCOME AND HOUSING DATA QUALITY: % of Error Rate for the following data points entered into CT HMIS: a. Destination b. Income and Sources at Entry c. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment d. Income and Sources at Exit	a. Destination – 0% b. Income and Sources at Entry - 0% c. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment – 0% d. Income and Sources at Exit - 0%	MAX POINTS = 2 a. DESTINATION: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points</th> <th>PSH/RRH/TH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0.5</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> b. INCOME & SOURCES AT ENTRY: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points</th> <th>PSH/RRH/TH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0.5</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> c. INCOME & SOURCES AT ANNUAL ASSESSMENT: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points</th> <th>PSH/RRH/TH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0.5</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> d. INCOME & SOURCES AT EXIT: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points</th> <th>PSH/RRH/TH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0.5</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points	PSH/RRH/TH	0.5	0%	Points	PSH/RRH/TH	0.5	0%	Points	PSH/RRH/TH	0.5	0%	Points	PSH/RRH/TH	0.5	0%	Data Source: 2017 APR (CY17 Data)	APR Q6c. Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality Destination - % of Error Rate Income and Sources at Entry - % of Error Rate Income and Sources at Annual Assessment - % of Error Rate Income and Sources at Exit - % of Error Rate
Points	PSH/RRH/TH																				
0.5	0%																				
Points	PSH/RRH/TH																				
0.5	0%																				
Points	PSH/RRH/TH																				
0.5	0%																				
Points	PSH/RRH/TH																				
0.5	0%																				
1.3	TIMELINESS OF DATA ENTRY: a. % of project entry records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark b. % of project exit records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark	a. 100% OF PROJECT ENTRY RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: PH – 0-10 days TH – 0-6 days b. 100% OF PROJECT EXIT RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: PH – 0-10 days TH – 0-6 days	MAX POINTS = 1 a. PROJECT ENTRY RECORDS: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points</th> <th>PSH/RRH/TH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0.5</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> b. PROJECT EXIT RECORDS: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points</th> <th>PSH/RRH/TH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0.5</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points	PSH/RRH/TH	0.5	100%	Points	PSH/RRH/TH	0.5	100%	Data Source: HMIS Data Timeliness Report Time period examined: 12/07/17 – 2/28/18	HMIS Data Timeliness Report to be run by CCEH. Appeals will use agency-run APR “Q6e. Data Quality: Timeliness” From “2017-18 Data Quality and Data Timeliness Memorandum of Agreement”: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Project type</th> <th>Data Benchmark</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>TH</td> <td>2 Business Days</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PH (RRH, PSH)</td> <td>Must be entered w/in 5 business days</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Benchmarks in effect as of December 7, 2017 per MOU.	Project type	Data Benchmark	TH	2 Business Days	PH (RRH, PSH)	Must be entered w/in 5 business days		
Points	PSH/RRH/TH																				
0.5	100%																				
Points	PSH/RRH/TH																				
0.5	100%																				
Project type	Data Benchmark																				
TH	2 Business Days																				
PH (RRH, PSH)	Must be entered w/in 5 business days																				



Scoring Standards

- 100-point scale, even more gradations in the scoring this time!
- Categories:
 1. Data Quality: 3 criteria: 4 points
 2. Performance: 9 criteria; PSH – 32 points, RRH/TH: 37 points
 3. Grant Management: 5 criteria; 16 points
 4. Agency Compliance & Participation: 8 criteria; 12 points
 5. HUD Priority: 2 criteria; PSH – 16 points, RRH/TH: 8 points
 6. FC CAN: 5 criteria; 18 points
 7. Cost Effectiveness: 2 criteria; 8 points
 8. Penalty: 2 criteria; up to -15 points
 9. Bonus Points: HF Assessment Tool; 1 criteria: 4 points
 10. DV Projects: 2 criteria; 8 points

Scoring Standards

- New criteria
 - Data Quality – 3 criteria
 - Any Income – 1 criterion
 - DV criteria related to client safety – 2 criteria
 - Agency Compliance & Participation criteria – Quarterly Monitoring, SOAR, CAN MOU, Equal Access
- Restructured the old Grant Management and CoC Participation sections and created an Agency Compliance and Participation section
 - Separates the criteria pertaining to agency-level activities from those specific to the project

Scoring Standards

- Revised criteria:
 - Earned/Other income – increases only now
 - Severity of Need – VI-SPDAT score
 - FC CAN criteria – revised based on data being collected
 - Cost Effectiveness – revised calculation methodology
- To be scored this year
 - Cost Effectiveness
 - Timeliness – limited time period

Scoring Standards

CAN Scoring

- Revised this quite a bit to better correspond with CAN data that is available
- Must meet threshold requirement that all vacancies are reported and filled through the CAN
- Looking at length of time from referral to agency response and from acceptance to housing placement
- Criterion on denials, but have a list of “allowed denials”

Scoring Standards

Cost Effectiveness:

- Will calculate each project's actual Average Cost
- Will use the standards established last year to calculate a CoC Project Maximum Average Cost
- We will compare the actual average to the maximum average. Projects with the lowest percentage (lowest cost) will get more points than those with higher percentages (higher costs).
- Site-based and scattered-site will be separated.
- Calculating it this way allows us to control for each project's unique blend of geography, configuration and population being served.

Scoring Standards

Points by Quintiles

- This year, we are trying to move away from averages where we don't have a threshold established.
- Using quintiles instead – breaking performance into 5 even groups and giving more points to those with the stronger performance.
 - Top 20% get the most points, bottom 20% get least/no points, those in the middle get some points depending upon which quintile they are in.
- Using this for CAN average length of time criteria and for cost effectiveness.

Scoring Standards

Bonus Points

- Bonus points are available for agencies that complete the HUD Housing First Assessment Tool and submit their completed tool.
- Additional Bonus points are available for filling out a form that is included in the Renewal Project Summary Form which asks you to report on what was learned through the HF Assessment Tool and strategies for addressing areas where the project could use improvement.
- Note that points are NOT awarded for the score you get on the assessment but rather for engaging in the process of completing the assessment and developing strategies to improve.

Renewal Project Summary Form

Opening Doors Fairfield County/CT-503 2018 Renewal Project Summary Form

INSTRUCTIONS

THIS FORM AND ALL DOCUMENTS REQUESTED MUST BE SUBMITTED NO LATER THAN 5:00PM ON WEDNESDAY, July 11, 2018.

Please fully review this form well before the submission date. **We strongly urge all agencies to submit their forms before the due date.**

Questions on this form are numbered to correspond to the criteria numbering on the 2018 Renewal Project Scoring Standards. Please read the Scoring Standards and follow the instructions provided for each question included on this form to ensure that the required information is included.

Please use the Attachment Checklist to ensure that all required documents are submitted. If a requested document is not available for submission, please use the space provided to explain why it is not.

Grantees are advised that DMA does not have permission to view personally identifying information for project clients. Any document submitted to DMA that includes client-level data **MUST be de-identified to protect client confidentiality.**

If your agency has multiple projects, agency-level documents need only be submitted once. If your agency is pursuing a consolidation of two or more grants, please note that you are still required to submit separate Summary Forms for each project.

➔ **The 2018 Renewal Project Summary Form and all other requested attachments should be named according to the naming conventions specified.** Where "Grant#" is requested, please use the first six (6) digits of the grant number (i.e., CT0000).

- The Renewal Project Summary Data Form should be named as follows:
"[Grant#]_[Agency Name]_2018RPSF"
- All other Attachments should be named per the "Attachment Checklist" included in this document.
- If you are submitting an attachment not included in the list, please include the grant number in the name of the file.

➔ **All documents should be emailed to: openingdoorsoffairfieldcounty@gmail.com**
The subject line should read: [Grant#] – [Agency Name] - 2018 Renewal Project Summary Form

QUESTIONS

Any questions about this Form should be emailed to: openingdoorsoffairfieldcounty@gmail.com
Questions can also be directed to: Pam Ralston, ODFC Manager, pralston@cceh.org, 203-464-3254

Renewal Project Summary Form

- Complete the Form in Word – it is set up to allow you to type into the boxes provided and has numerous check boxes that you can click on and check off and spaces for providing narrative responses.
- If you can submit before **July 11th**, please do so. We will check to make sure we have all of the indicated attachments and will be able to follow up if anything is missing.
- You should get a confirmation email upon submission of your information. If you don't get a confirmation within one business day, please follow up.
- **Please follow the naming conventions specified.** This will make it much easier to track your documents.

Renewal Project Summary Form

- Consolidation is an option through this NOFA
 - Up to 4 projects
 - Projects must have the same recipient and be for the same component
 - Agencies must contact the Field Office to see if they are eligible.
 - Even if you are planning to consolidate through this NOFA, please submit a separate Renewal Project Summary Form for each project.
 - If/when we get word the agency is eligible for consolidation, we will combine the data on our end.

Renewal Project Summary Form

- **Under no circumstances should you send client-level data to the ODFC gmail account that has not been de-identified.**
- Agency-level policies and procedures need only be submitted once.
- APR –you must submit a complete agency-run APR.
- Please review the attachment checklist and be sure to include ALL required attachments or an explanation if a required attachment is not included.
- There is a late submission penalty so please be aware of the deadline and have your attachments ready by **July 11th.**

Renewal Project Summary Form: Attachment Checklist

ATTACHMENT CHECKLIST

DUE TO THE LARGE VOLUME OF DOCUMENTATION THAT IS COLLECTED FOR PROJECT SCORING, WE REQUEST THAT YOU **PLEASE FOLLOW THE NAMING CONVENTIONS PROVIDED BELOW FOR EACH FILE**, INSERTING YOUR PROJECT'S GRANT NUMBER (FIRST 6 DIGITS ONLY) AND/OR AGENCY NAME AS REQUESTED. THANK YOU!

Required Project-Level Documents (Check all documents being submitted):

- APR run for 01/01/2017 to 12/31/2017
File name convention: "[Grant #]_APR" - if your APR has multiple parts, please provide a number at the end of the file name. For example: CT0000_APR1.pdf
- Project Scoring Tool
File name convention: "[Grant #]_ProjectScoringTool"
Each agency must submit a completed Project Scoring Tool in excel format. This includes the following:
 - For criteria 1.1-1.2, 2.2-2.8 and 3.1, agencies must complete the worksheet labeled "REQUIRED Performance Eval Tool" utilizing an agency-run APR generated by CT HMIS using the 2017 APR format run for the 12-month period of 01/01/2017 to 12/31/2017. All agencies are expected to work with Nutmeg to address issues affecting the accuracy of their APR data, where appropriate. Any APR data discrepancies affecting project scoring that have not been resolved by the submission date should be noted for each criterion affected in the spaces provided. Agencies requesting special consideration must provide documentation to support the request.
Note: for DV programs unable to generate an APR through a database, a manually completed version of the APR tables used for scoring shall be submitted.
 - For criteria 3.2-3.3, the worksheet labeled "REQUIRED eLOCCS Draws" must be completed.
 - For criteria 7.1-7.2, the worksheet labeled "REQUIRED CostEffectivenessData" must be completed.
- #3.2. Funds Recaptured by HUD & #3.3. Drawdown Rates
File name convention: "[Grant #]_eLOCCSDrawdowns"
- #3.4. Compliance with HUD: Environmental Review Documents
File name convention: "[Grant#]_EnvironmentalReview_[PropertyNameOrStreetName/Address]"
- #3.5 APR Submission – documentation of submission date
File name convention: "[Grant #]_APRSubmissionDate"
- #3.5 APR Submission – copy of APR submitted
File name convention: "[Grant #]_APRSubmission"

Renewal Project Summary Form

- As in last year's Renewal Project Summary Form, you will see there are spaces open for you to be able to type in additional information for consideration. **For many of the criteria, you do not need to fill in this information unless you feel there is additional information we need to know.**
- You can refer to the Scoring Standards to understand the sources we are using to calculate various measures. This may help you better understand whether a written explanation is required.

Renewal Project Summary Form

- PSH Dedicated to Chronic
 - Please note that we have provided guidance from HUD related to dedicating PSH beds to chronic. Please review it before providing your response.
- Participant Eligibility – please let us know in this space if you have any eligibility issues for your clients that we should be aware of. For example, you serve a subpopulation that pulls from a different waitlist due to funding restrictions.

Renewal Project Summary Form

Drawdowns & eLOCCS info:

- Once again, we are providing instructions this year related to eLOCCS and the information we need.
- Please note that we are asking for the summary of your eLOCCS draws and do not need the documentation for each individual draw.
- We are also asking you to provide the total sum of the draws through the Project Scoring Tool.
- If there is anything unusual about your eLOCCS draws, please use the space provided in the RPSF to let us know.

Renewal Project Summary Form

- Utilization Rates
 - We will use information provided in the RPSF and the Project Scoring Tool, so be sure to provide info to help us score this criterion more accurately.
- Cost effectiveness
 - We are scoring cost effectiveness this year and will need accurate info on the units, population served and configuration of your project so that we can calculate this properly.
 - Information will be gathered from the Project Scoring Tool.
 - We will also pull funding information from the GIW.

Renewal Project Summary Form

Bonus Points:

- Available for conducting the HUD Housing First Assessment.
- Points are available for submitting a completed assessment and additional points for filling out a form included in the Renewal Project Summary Form.

ODFC/CT-503 2018 Renewal Project Summary Form

HOUSING FIRST ASSESSMENT TOOL FOLLOW UP FORM

Did you complete the Housing First Assessment internally or did you work with a peer organization?

Conducted Internally Conducted by Peer Organization

Please provide the name, title and agency of the person(s) who conducted the assessment: Click or tap here to enter text.

Date completed: Click or tap to enter a date.

Estimated amount of time it took to complete the assessment: Click or tap here to enter text.

Please input the score received by the project upon completion of the assessment: Enter Score

Please review the report summary and describe the project's performance, including specific areas (i.e., Access, Input, Services, Housing, Leases, Project) where the project performed well and specific areas in need of improvement, in regards to the following areas:

- Percentage of standards that are "Said"
Click or tap here to enter text.
- Percentage of standards that are "Documented"
Click or tap here to enter text.
- Percentage of standards that are "Done"
Click or tap here to enter text.

Please indicate how your agency plans to address areas where the agency responded "Not at all" or "Somewhat" when asked if you "Say It." Please refer to specific standards and provide specific strategies.

Click or tap here to enter text.

Please indicate how your agency plans to address areas where the agency responded "Not at all" or "Somewhat" when asked if you "Document It." Please refer to specific standards and provide specific strategies.

Click or tap here to enter text.

Please indicate how your agency plans to address areas where the agency responded "Not at all" or "Somewhat" when asked if you "Do It." Please refer to specific standards and provide specific strategies.

Click or tap here to enter text.

Additional comments or feedback related to the Housing First Assessment Tool:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Renewal Project Summary Form

New criteria for DV projects:

- CoC-funded DV projects should review the questions in the Renewal Project Summary Form and be sure to respond with complete answers.
- DV projects are not required to submit documentation regarding protocols, policies, procedures or processes related to safety measures for clients or safety planning. If such documentation exists, it would be helpful for scoring.



Project Scoring Tool

There are three worksheets to complete in the Project Scoring Tool:

- REQUIRED Performance Eval Tool
- REQUIRED eLOCCS Draws
- REQUIRED CostEffectivenessData

Be sure to complete all three of these worksheets or your submission will not be considered complete.

The worksheets are locked except for the cells where you are allowed to input data.



Project Scoring Tool

REQUIRED Performance Eval Tool:

- A slimmed down version of the Quarterly Monitoring Tool, so this should feel familiar.
- This is the basis for many of the performance criteria scores.
- **Note that the tool will show you a projection of the points you are likely to receive based on the data provided. These point values may change based on data corrections, info provided in the RPSF, appeals, etc.**

Project Scoring Tool

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
4	Renewal Project Evaluation Tool (v1.1)						
5	GENERAL INFORMATION						
6	Grantee Name:						
7	Project Name:						
8	Grant #:	Project Type:	# of Units in Project:				
9	How many households entered the project during this time period?:						
10	How many households exited the project during this time period?:						
11							
12	Completed by:						
13	Agency Name:						
14	Are you a designated agency HDC?:				Date Completed:		
15	Is your agency a designated subrecipient for this project?:						
16							
17							
18	DATA INPUT						
19	Please input data from the corresponding APR tables into the field below.						
20							
21	Q1. Report Validation Table					# Persons	
22	Total number of persons served						
23	Number of leavers						
24	Number of adult leavers						
25	Number of stayers						
26	Number of adult stayers						
27							
28	Q2. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)					% of Error Rate	
29	Overall Score						
30							
31	Q4. Income and Housing Data Quality					% of Error Rate	
32	Destination						
33	Income and Sources at Entry						
34	Income and Sources at Annual Assessment						
35	Income and Sources at Exit						
36							
37	Q8a. Number of Households Served					# Households	
38	Total Households - Total						
39							
40	8b. Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday					# Households	
41							
42	REQUIRED Performance Eval Tool REQUIRED eLOCCS Draws REQUIRED CostEffecti						

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	
106								
107							Projected Points	
108							(assumes no data errors)	
109	PERFORMANCE REPORT							
110	1. Data Quality Criteria							
111	1.1 Personally Identifiable Information Overall Score						Calculation %	Meets Bench-mark?
112	1.2 Income and Housing Data Quality							
113	1.2.a. Destination						TBD	TBD
114	1.2.b. Income and Sources at Entry						TBD	TBD
115	1.2.c. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment						TBD	TBD
116	1.2.d. Income and Sources at Exit						TBD	TBD
117	2. Performance Criteria							
118	2.2 Increase Earned Income							
119	PSH - % of adults who Increased Earned Income						TBD	TBD
120	RRH/TH - % of adults who Increased Earned Income						TBD	TBD
121	2.3 Increased Other Income							
122	PSH - % of Adults who Increased Other Income						TBD	TBD
123	RRH/TH - % of Adults who Increased Other Income						TBD	TBD
124	2.4 Participants with Any Income							
125	PSH/RRH/TH - % of adults w/1 or more source of income						TBD	TBD
126	2.5 Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits							
127	PSH/RRH/TH - % of adults w/1 or more source(s)						TBD	TBD
128	2.6 Participants Connected to Health Insurance							
129	PSH/RRH/TH - % of clients w/1 or more source(s)						TBD	TBD
130	2.7 Length of Stay							
131	RRH - % of clients w/LOS <=12 months						TBD	TBD
132	TH - % of clients w/LOS <=12 months						TBD	TBD
133	2.8 Exit to PH Destination							
134	PSH ONLY - % of clients who remain or exit to PH						TBD	TBD
135	RRH/TH ONLY - % of clients who exit to PH						TBD	TBD
136	3. Compliance Criteria							
137	3.1 Utilization Rate						TBD	TBD

Project Scoring Tool

REQUIRED eLOCCS Draws:

- Add up and input your eLOCCS draws for the grant year
- Only provide a second year of data if there was an irregularity in the most currently completed grant year that impacted your agency's ability to fully draw down funds or to regularly draw down funds.
 - Irregularities should be fully explained in the Renewal Project Summary Form. Any documentation regarding irregularities (email exchanges with HUD, for example) should be attached.

Project Scoring Tool

A	B	C	D	E	F
1	ELOCCS Information for Criteria 3.2 and 3.3				
2	<p>INSTRUCTIONS: Please provide the eLOCCS data requested for the project. Use dropdown list options to populate project information.</p> <p>Only submit information for the most recent FULLY COMPLETED grant year.</p> <p>Only provide a second year of eLOCCS data if your project experienced irregularities in drawing down funds that would negatively impact the project's performance.</p> <p>Any explanatory information or notes regarding eLOCCS draws should be provided in the Renewal Project Summary Form.</p>				
3					
4					
5	Information provided reflects eLOCCS draws as of:				
6					
7	Project Information (Use dropdown lists)				
8	Grant #:				
9	Grantee Name:				
10	Project Name:				
11					
12	<p>Enter eLOCCS data below. The Total Amount Drawn should be for a single grant year only. Do NOT total amounts across multiple grant years.</p>		<p>ONLY ENTER DATA INTO THE CELLS BELOW IF YOU ARE SUBMITTING INFORMATION FOR A SECOND GRANT YEAR DUE TO IRREGULARITIES</p>		
13	Grant # on eLOCCS Docs	Total Amount Drawn for Grant Year	Grant # on eLOCCS Docs for Second Grant Year	Total Amount Drawn for Second Grant Year Submitted	
14					
15	↑ Enter project data in the cells above ↑		↑ These cells are optional ↑		
16					
17					
18					
		REQUIRED Performance Eval Tool	REQUIRED eLOCCS Draws	REQUIRED CostEffectivenessData	ResourceOnly APR

Project Scoring Tool

REQUIRED CostEffectivenessData:

- Input your project's data regarding the number and configuration of units and households served.
- Provide the info that corresponds to the 2018 GIW in regards to units and households served.
- For data only – additional comments should be entered into the Renewal Project Summary Form.

Project Scoring Tool

Unit Count Information for Cost Effectiveness Criteria 7.1 and 7.2

INSTRUCTIONS:
Please provide the data requested regarding the number of units and households served. Use dropdown list options to populate project information. Any explanatory information or notes regarding the units or households served should be provided in the Renewal Project Summary Form.

NOTES:
-To prevent users from inadvertently deleting formulas and formatting, this workbook is locked. You may only enter data into the blue-shaded cells.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:
Bridgeport, CT HUD Metro FMR Area (HMFA) consists of the following cities/towns:
Bridgeport
Easton
Fairfield
Monroe
Shelton
Stratford
Trumbull

Stamford-Norwalk, CT HUD Metro FMR Area (HMFA) consists of the following cities/towns:
Darien
Greenwich
New Canaan
Norwalk
Stamford
Weston
Westport
Wilton

Information provided reflects usage as of:

Project Information <i>(Use dropdown lists.)</i>	
Grant #:	
Grantee Name:	
Project Name:	

of Households (HHs) Served		Project Configuration	Bridgeport HMFA								Stamford-Norwalk HMFA								
			# of Units Serving Individuals				# of Units Serving Families w/Children				# of Units Serving Individuals				# of Units Serving Families w/Children				
Total HHs	Individual HHs	Families w/Children HHs	Scattered-Site or Site-Based	Bedroom/Studio/SR	1 Bedroom	2 Bedrooms	3 Bedrooms	4+ Bedrooms	Bedroom/Studio/SR	1 Bedroom	2 Bedrooms	3 Bedrooms	4+ Bedrooms	Bedroom/Studio/SR	1 Bedroom	2 Bedrooms	3 Bedrooms	4+ Bedrooms	
				0	m				0	Bedroom	s			0	m				
! Enter project data in the cells above !				! Enter project data in the cells above !				! Enter project data in the cells above !				! Enter project data in the cells above !				! Enter project data in the cells above !			

REQUIRED Performance Eval Tool REQUIRED eLOCCS Draws **REQUIRED CostEffectivenessData** ResourceOnly APR Table Examples ResourceOnly Scoring Benchmarks (+)

Questions?

- Please type your questions into the chat box.
- If you need to submit a question after the webinar, please send it to ODFC gmail account: openingdoorsoffairfieldcounty@gmail.com
- Pam will monitor the account & will be first line of response. DMA will be brought in as needed.

THANK YOU!!

